

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/659,655 | 09/10/2003 | Barry M. Thompson | 6956.07 | 3659 |
| 7590 12/07/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| David E. Bruhn DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498 | | | BOGART, MICHAEL G | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3761 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/659,655 | THOMPSON ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Michael G. Bogart | 3761 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI | DIVIQUET TO EVDIDE 2 M | MONTH/S\ EDOM | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a learning of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 | September 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | · | - | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applicati | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | examiner. Note the attached | J Office Action of form P10-192. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been | Application No | | | | |
| application from the International Bure | • | rappiyad | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a I | ist of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 Sept. 2003. | | s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/659,655

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 and 25-44 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,610 B1 (Thompson *et al.*). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Thompson *et al.* claim every limitation of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,655

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bogart whose telephone number is (571) 272-4933.

In the event the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz may be reached at phone number (571) 272-4390. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair_direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Bogart

1 December 2004

Larry I. Schwartz Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

22 Sehwart

Page 3